



The Overlooked Elements of Executive Pay: Perquisites, Retirement and Severance

While perquisites, retirement and severance are not ordinarily an annual focus of Compensation Committees, these non-core elements can play a critical role in crafting executive compensation programs that enable companies to achieve their strategic goals and objectives. This issue of the Beacon looks at these non-core elements, outlines important committee considerations and highlights the questions committees should ask when evaluating these non-core elements.

When people think of executive compensation, they naturally think of salaries, annual bonuses, and long-term incentives. While these are the foundational elements of pay that predominantly occupy Compensation Committees' time and attention. The non-core elements play a material role in retention, motivation and governance.

Perquisites

Generally, perquisites are provided to executives to enable them to devote more time to the company's business, protect its operations and/or address risks related to health or security. Understanding the purposes and roles of perquisites is essential in determining which to offer and how they fit into the company's executive compensation philosophy and program.

There are several lenses through which to consider perquisites:



Companies: Value perks that make executives more productive, less distracted, healthier and/or safer. These are the predominant objectives for most Committees when adopting perquisites.



Investors/Shareholders: Are generally not opposed to perquisites provided that they are not excessive, are within market norms and demonstrate a legitimate business purpose.



Proxy Advisors: May view perquisites as a poor pay practice if they are "excessive." Examples include tax gross-ups and "excessive" company plane and automobile use. Both ISS and Glass Lewis have published research on executive perquisites¹.



Executives: May view perquisites as more valuable than a similar amount of cash because they facilitate material assistance in managing complex professional and personal responsibilities. Among the most common are financial planning assistance, company car, personal use of company plane and/or executive physicals.

¹ What 'Perks' Can Tell Us About Executive Compensation, September 11, 2023, ISS, available at: https://www.iss-corporate.com/resources/reports/what-perks-can-tell-us-about-executive-compensation/; The Resurgence of Executive Perquisites: Overview & Aircraft Costs, April 24, 2025, Glass Lewis, available at https://www.glasslewis.com/article/the-resurgence-of-executive-perquisites-overview-aircraft-costs.

Often, Compensation Committees focus on particular high-ticket perquisites, such as personal use of company planes or other pivotal arrangements related to executive health and safety. Committees need to understand their perquisite offerings in the context of the above perspectives.

Key Questions When Considering Perquisites

What is the business purpose of this perquisite?

Would the perquisite present any internal complications based on what is offered to other executives or employees?

Is this perquisite consistent with the compensation philosophy?

What is the market practice with respect to this perquisite?

Does our industry have a different experience with this perquisite?

What are our investors' views about this perquisite?

What are the proxy advisory firms' views on this?

What are the proxy disclosure implications of providing this perquisite?

Meridian's Take

Perquisites can be an effective component of a company's executive compensation program. Clarifying the business purpose is the most important element of assessing need for and potential value of perquisites. Clarity on the needs of the business provide the basis for positive and sustainable outcomes with investors and their advisors.

Retirement

Historical Context

The nature of the employment relationship with executives has changed significantly over the past 30 years. Pension plans and supplemental executive retirement plans have been dramatically reduced and, in many cases, have been eliminated entirely. Instead, compensation has become substantially more performance and stock based. Most companies do maintain defined contribution and "restoration" plans to mitigate the statutory limitations of qualified plans. Somewhat ironically, the shift away from pensions has made the retirement provisions of equity awards particularly important and valuable, given the significant portion of total compensation that is comprised of equity awards.

Retirement Plans

Across nearly all industries retirement plans have become overwhelmingly defined contribution (DC) in nature. Defined benefit (DB) plans have largely been phased out or frozen and replaced by DC plans. The cost of DB plans, the volatility they often introduce into company profitability and a workforce with considerably more mobility across employers over a career are the factors which drove this change. Nonetheless, opportunities to accumulate (and move) retirement assets are highly valued. This means the company contribution elements (e.g., match formulas, discretionary contributions, executive restoration elements and investment choices) are an important aspect of executive remuneration. However, retirement arrangements rarely have the value or retention power to materially impact attraction or retention of executives, as they often can get the same offering elsewhere.

Treatment of Equity upon Retirement

For higher-growth companies, the treatment of equity upon retirement often receives less attention as executives are more focused on intermediate time frames. However, for more established companies the treatment of equity on retirement is of high interest and value. A company should balance:

1. Retaining employees/executives with longer service retirement eligibility; against



2. Attracting mid-career talent with more attainable retirement eligibility.

Most companies define retirement eligibility as some combination of age and service. Once attained, outstanding equity awards are eligible for some degree of beneficial treatment (e.g., pro rata and/or full vesting of equity). Striking the balance outlined above requires defining:

- 1. The age(s) and/or service that constitutes retirement (there can be more than one);
- 2. How much an individual is entitled to receive at these milestones; and
- 3. Other features:
 - A. Designed to ensure that, as the executive cohort becomes more senior and seasoned, the retention value of equity is not lost through the executive's right to resign and claim retirement treatment (e.g., a requirement to provide advance notice of retirement).
 - B. Designed to provide optionality to the company and avoid doubling up (e.g., not permitting an executive to receive both severance on a termination without cause and retirement treatment of equity).

Increasingly, we see companies in the sticky situation of having an age + service equity vesting provision, which eliminates the retention "glue" equity awards are supposed to provide. This also fails to support planful executive retirements and allows for a "double dip" (an executive terminated without cause, but who has met age and service requirements for retirement, receives both severance and equity vesting).

When considering how much equity should vest upon retirement, it boils down to the Board's take on what is consistent with the company's compensation philosophy. The alternatives range from losing all unvested awards upon retirement to full vesting. Most companies we work with now tend to fall somewhere in between those extremes and may provide partial or pro-rata vesting of equity awards upon retirement. Investors prefer continued to accelerated vesting of equity on retirement as it maintains a long-term decision-making focus as executives near retirement.

Key Questions When Considering Equity Award Retirement Provisions

What is the average age of our executives?

What sort of employee and executive turnover do we have (i.e., how long do people stay and at what age do they tend to leave?) How much does an executive receive at each milestone?

How do we define retirement? Age? and/or number of years of service?

Do we need to attract "mid-career" executives that might not be able to reasonably satisfy our definition of retirement?

What is market practice concerning retirement both for the definition of retirement and the treatment upon retirement?

Is there merit in a hybrid "early" and "normal" retirement definition?

Meridian's Take

Retirement plans are fundamentally table stakes – they are important to have but do little to distinguish a company given the widespread similarities across industries. However, treatment of **equity** on retirement is important to define. Most companies encounter the need to hire mid-career talent. Consequently, retirement provisions that are attainable are essential to being competitive. The key is to balance attainable equity retirement treatment with the retention value of equity and the interests of the company.



Severance

Severance is used to facilitate managerial transitions and/or make individuals indifferent to organizational decisions that may be to their detriment, including a reduction in force and a merger or acquisition. Severance can be provided by individual agreement with each executive or through a severance plan. Most companies have migrated toward generalized plans rather than individual agreements. They are simpler, easier to administer and avoids complex, one-off negotiations with each executive.

Most shareholders and their advisors support severance that is not "excessive" and/or reflects market practice. The proxy advisory firms take a dim view of any severance protection that provides for tax gross ups. This has led to a shift in the market towards a "best net" approach for severance related to changes in control, as this avoids a tax gross-up and enables the individual executives to either have their severance capped or paid out in full, depending on which would provide a greater benefit.

Key Questions When Considering Severance

What arrangements do we have, what are key terms, and how are they triggered?

How does the proposed severance compare to any existing severance benefits?

How does the proposed severance compare to market practice?

Is there any justification to go above or below current market standards?

What are the proxy disclosure implications?

How does this proposed severance compare to our investors' views on severance?

How does this proposed severance compare to the proxy advisory firms' views on severance?

Meridian's Take

Severance is a key component of an executive compensation program. Companies periodically need to make changes in the interests of shareholders. If structured correctly, severance is generally supported by shareholders and proxy advisors and provides competitive and "fair" treatment to terminated executives as they transition to alternative employment.

Conclusion

These non-core elements are an important element of an executive compensation program. They are a particularly critical element of executive compensation at the margin – when attracting and retaining executives during critical times. Getting the non-core elements right can pay off by enabling a company to achieve its strategic goals and objectives.

Be sure to check out Meridian's podcasts for additional insights on these topics:

- Executive Perks Make a Cautious Return Amid Rising Security Concerns
- Three Keys to Retirement Planning
- Key Questions on Retirement Treatment
- Harmonizing Equity with Retirement Goals
- The Strategic Retention Advantage of Retirement Notice Periods
- 2024 Study of Executive Severance Arrangements Not Related to a Change in Control



- Study of Change-in-Control Severance Arrangements 2023
- Adapting Severance Policies to Meet New Regulatory Challenges
- Podcast Summary Executive Compensation Actions You Should Be Taking Now to Prepare for a Merger
- Executive Transitions Key Questions Every Comp Committee Should Ask About Equity Treatment Upon Retirement

* * * * *

The *Meridian Beacon* is prepared by Meridian Compensation Partners. Questions regarding this Meridian Beacon may be directed to Ed Hauder at 224-775-4852 or ehauder@meridiancp.com.

This report is a publication of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, provides general information for reference purposes only and should not be construed as legal or accounting advice or a legal or accounting opinion on any specific fact or circumstances. The information provided herein should be reviewed with appropriate advisors concerning your own situation and issues. www.meridiancp.com

